

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,569	07/03/2003	Paul Chen	13843 B	5475
7590 08/04/2006 .			EXAMINER	
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQUIRE Third Floor 90 John Street			MATHEW, FENN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10038			3764	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ST.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,569	CHEN, PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fenn C. Mathew	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 l	Mav 2006.					
	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>4,5,10 and 15</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applications Ority documents have been received in Applications Ority documents have been received.	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/03/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail					

Application/Control Number: 10/613,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species I in the reply filed on 05/18/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase 'plate attached to bottom' should presumably read –plate attached to a bottom surface of said shelf--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-9, and 11 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ammoscato et al. (U.S. 5,472,397). Referring to claim 1, Ammoscato discloses an exercise bench and dumbbell combination (10) comprising a base (12) including a bench member supported thereon for supporting users thereon, a shelf (38) supported on the base (indirectly), and a dumbbell device (40) supported on the shelf

Application/Control Number: 10/613,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

and arranged to be fetched by the users. Referring to claim 2, Ammoscato discloses in the abstract that the shelf is rotatably secured on the base with a pivot rod to allow the shelf and the dumbbell device to be rotated relative to the base to suitable positions. Referring to claim 3, as broadly claimed, Ammoscato teaches the shelf including a recess formed therein to support the dumbbell device. Referring to claim 6, as broadly claimed, Ammoscato discloses a cushion (24) selectively attached to the base. Referring to claim 7, Ammoscato discloses an arm (30) attached to the base to support the cushion. Referring to claim 8, Ammoscato discloses the arm including a first end attached to the base, and a second end attached to the cushion. Referring to claim 9, Ammoscato teaches the device further comprising means for adjustably securing the first end of the arm to the base. Referring to claim 11, as broadly claimed, Ammoscato discloses means for adjustably securing the second end of the arm to the cushion (note that pivot is secured to back of the cushion, and that the second end of the arm is attached to the pivot).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ammoscato (U.S. 5,472,397) in view of Fomichenko (S.U. 1,618,430). Referring to

Application/Control Number: 10/613,569

Art Unit: 3764

claim 13, Ammoscato teaches the claimed invention including the dumbbell device. Ammoscato is silent with regards to the particulars of the dumbbells. Fomichenko teaches a desirable dumbbell to be used for exercise including a plurality of weight members (18) each having a channel formed therein as seen in figure 2, a handgrip (1) having at least one end engaged through the channel of the weight (via latch (1)), and means for selectively securing the weight members (2) to the one end of the handgrip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide Ammoscato with the dumbbell as taught by Fomichenko in order to provide a dumbbell that can be easily adjusted thereby reducing the need for multiple dumbbells. With respect to claim 14, note that the dumbbell of Fomichenko further includes a slot formed in the end of the handgrip, with the selectively securing means including a latch member (2) slidably engaged through the slot of the handgrip and engageable with a selected number of weights.

Page 4

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ammoscato in view of Grider (U.S. 5,603,682). Ammoscato teaches the claimed invention including a flap (as seen in figure 5) connecting the second end of the arm and the cushion. Ammoscato fails to teach the flap having a plurality of apertures and a latch pin engageable therein. Grider teaches in col. 5, lines 5-45, the desirability of providing a flap with apertures and a latch pin in order to allow the seat to further be adjusted angularly and to lock the cushion in the angular position. Therefore in view of the teachings of Grider, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide Ammoscato with a flap as taught by Grider including

Art Unit: 3764

apertures and a locking latch pin in order to allow the cushion of Ammoscato to be further angularly adjusted according to user preference and/or starting exercise position.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-5, 10, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record fails to teach the invention as substantially claimed further including a shelf with a pair of bulges and plurality of depressions to receive the weights of a dumbbell, the adjustably securing means as substantially claimed, and the particulars of the dumbbell including the fastener with slidable catch.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reyes (U.S. 6,447,433) teaches a weight bar support structure with pivotable 'shelves'. Isom et al. (6,287,243) teaches a bench that is adjustable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,569 Page 6

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fenn C. Mathew

August 2, 2006